

# TOPOLOGICAL AE(0)-GROUPS

ALEX CHIGOGIDZE

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate topological AE(0)-groups class of which contains the class of Polish groups as well as the class of all locally compact groups. We establish the existence of an universal AE(0)-group of a given weight as well as the existence of an universal action of AE(0)-group of a given weight on a AE(0)-space of the same weight. A complete characterization of closed subgroups of powers of the symmetric group  $S_\infty$  is obtained. It is also shown that every AE(0)-group is Baire isomorphic to the product of Polish groups. These results are obtained by using the spectral descriptions of AE(0)-groups which are presented in Section 3.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main structure theorems for compact groups (see [10, Chapters 6 & 9]) can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem A** ([10], Theorem 9.24(ii)). *Let  $G$  be a connected compact group. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism*

$$p: Z_0(G) \times \prod \{L_t: t \in T\} \rightarrow G,$$

where  $Z_0(G)$  stands for the identity component of the center of  $G$ , and  $L_t$  is a simple, connected and simply connected compact Lie group,  $t \in T$ , such that  $\ker(p)$  is a zero-dimensional central subgroup of  $Z_0(G) \times \prod \{L_t: t \in T\}$ .

The above statement clearly shows that the classes of zero-dimensional groups, abelian groups and simple, simply connected Lie groups play a central role in the general theory of compact groups. Recently these classes of topological groups have been studied from the point of view of absolute extensors in dimension  $n$  (see [4] for a comprehensive introduction into the theory of absolute extensors in dimension  $n$ ). The following three statements show that such an approach is quite effective.

**Theorem B** ([2]). *The following conditions are equivalent for a zero-dimensional topological group  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is topologically equivalent to the product  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\tau \times \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 22A05; Secondary: 22F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Topological AE(0)-group, Polish group, inverse spectrum.

Author was partially supported by NSERC research grant.

(b)  $G$  is an  $AE(0)$ -space.

**Theorem C** ([6], Theorem E). *The following conditions are equivalent for a compact abelian group  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a torus group (both in topological and algebraic senses).
- (b)  $G$  is an  $AE(1)$ -compactum.

**Theorem D** ([5], Corollary 1). *The following conditions are equivalent for a non-trivial compact group  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a simple, connected and simply connected Lie group.
- (b)  $G$  is an  $AE(2)$ -group with  $\pi_3(G) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

The full classification problem for non-abelian (see Theorem C) and for non-simply connected compact  $AE(1)$ -groups remains open. On the other hand, the following two statements provide a complete classification of simply connected compact  $AE(1)$ -groups.

**Theorem E** ([5], Theorem C). *The following conditions are equivalent for a compact group  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a simply connected  $AE(1)$ -compactum.
- (b)  $G$  is an  $AE(2)$ -compactum.
- (c)  $G$  is an  $AE(3)$ -compactum.
- (d)  $G$  is a product of simple, connected and simply connected compact Lie groups.

**Theorem F** ([5], Corollary 2). *There is no non-trivial compact  $AE(4)$ -group.*

We complete this brief survey by pointing out that, as shows the following statement, for locally compact groups the restriction of being  $AE(0)$ -group is purely formal.

**Theorem G** (Pontryagin-Haydon, [14], [8]; [4]). *Every locally compact group is an  $AE(0)$ -space.*

Below we study  $AE(0)$ -groups. The class of  $AE(0)$ -groups contains the class of all (generally speaking, non-metrizable) locally compact groups (Theorem G) as well as the class of all Polish groups. Actually the class of Polish groups coincides with the class of metrizable  $AE(0)$ -groups and forms a foundation of the entire theory of  $AE(0)$ -groups. We hope that results presented in Section 3 do indicate a potential for a non-trivial theory of  $AE(0)$ -groups which unifies and generalizes theories of locally compact and Polish groups (thus offering a possible approach to the corresponding question posed in [13]).

In Section 3 we present a spectral characterization of  $AE(0)$ -groups in terms of well ordered continuous inverse spectra (Theorem 3.4). This characterization states that a non-metrizable topological group  $G$  of weight  $\tau$  is a  $AE(0)$ -group if and only if it is the limit of a well ordered continuous inverse system

$\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \alpha < \tau\}$  of length  $\tau$ , consisting of AE(0)-groups  $G_\alpha$  and 0-soft limit homomorphisms  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: G_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , so that  $G_0$  is a Polish group and each homomorphism  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , has a Polish kernel.

Obviously this result can not be accepted as the one providing a satisfactory reduction of the non-metrizable case to the Polish one. Of course, everything is fine if the weight of  $G$  is  $\omega_1$  – in such a case all  $G_\alpha$ 's,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , (and not only the very first one, i.e.  $G_0$ ) are indeed Polish. But if the weight of  $G$  is greater than  $\omega_1$ , then all  $G_\alpha$ 's, with  $\alpha \geq \omega_1$ , are non-metrizable.

In order to achieve our final goal and complete the reduction, we analyze 0-soft homomorphisms with Polish kernels between (generally speaking, non-metrizable) AE(0)-groups. A characterization of such homomorphisms, which is recorded in Proposition 3.6, states that a 0-soft homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow L$  of AE(0)-groups has a Polish kernel if and only if there exists a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ G_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & L_0, \end{array}$$

where  $G_0$  and  $L_0$  are Polish groups and the homomorphisms  $p: G \rightarrow G_0$  and  $q: L \rightarrow L_0$  are 0-soft. Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6 together complete the required reduction.

Polish groups and their actions have been extensively studied in a variety of directions (ergodic theory, group representations, operator algebras; see [1] for further discussion and references). Some of the central themes of the theory of Polish groups counterparts of which (for arbitrary AE(0)-groups) are considered below are the existence of universal groups, the existence of universal actions and characterization of closed subgroups of the symmetric group  $S_\infty$ .

In Section 4 we use above mentioned spectral characterizations and present extensions of some of these results for AE(0)-groups. We prove the existence of universal AE(0)-groups of a given weight (Proposition 4.1) and the existence of universal actions of AE(0)-groups of a given weight on compact AE(0)-spaces of the same weight (Theorem 4.2).

Theorem 4.4 characterizes AE(0)-groups which are isomorphic to closed subgroups of powers  $S_\infty^\tau$  of the symmetric group  $S_\infty$  – the group of all bijections of  $\mathbb{N}$  under the relative topology inherited from  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ . This result extends the corresponding observation [1, Theorem 1.5.1] for the group  $S_\infty$  itself. As a corollary (Corollary 4.5) we note that if a Polish group  $G$  can be embedded (as a closed subgroup) into  $S_\infty^\tau$  for some  $\tau$ , then  $G$  can be embedded into  $S_\infty$  as well. In light of [7] this shows that there exist zero-dimensional Polish groups which can not be embedded into  $S_\infty^\tau$  as closed subgroups for any cardinal number  $\tau$ .

Finally we use Theorem 3.4 to prove (Theorem 4.8) that every  $\text{AE}(0)$ -group is Baire isomorphic to the product of Polish groups. In light of Theorem G this result appears to be new even for compact groups.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All topological spaces below are assumed to be Tychonov (i.e. completely regular and Hausdorff) and all maps (except in Subsection 4.3) are continuous. We consider only Lebesgue dimension  $\dim$ . Definitions of concepts related to inverse spectra can be found in [4].  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real line and  $\mathbb{Q}$  stand for the Hilbert cube.

**2.1. Definitions of  $\text{AE}(n)$ -spaces and  $n$ -soft maps.** A comprehensive introduction into general theory of  $\text{AE}(n)$ -spaces and  $n$ -soft maps can be found in [4].  $C(X)$  denotes the set of all continuous real-valued functions defined on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A space  $X$  is called an absolute extensor in dimension  $n$  (shortly,  $\text{AE}(n)$ -space),  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , if for each at most  $n$ -dimensional space  $Z$  and each subspace  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , any map  $f: Z_0 \rightarrow X$ , such that  $C(f)(C(X)) \subseteq \{\varphi|_{Z_0}: \varphi \in C(Z)\}$ , can be extended to  $Z$ .

For compact spaces this definition is equivalent to the standard one.

**Proposition 2.2.** *A compact space  $X$  is a  $\text{AE}(n)$ -space if and only if for each at most  $n$ -dimensional compactum  $Z$  and for each closed subspace  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ , any map  $f: Z_0 \rightarrow X$  has an extension to  $Z$ .*

It is known [4, Chapter 6] that the class of metrizable  $\text{AE}(0)$ -spaces coincides with the class of Polish spaces. Every  $\text{AE}(0)$ -space has a countable Suslin number.

**Definition 2.3.** A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between  $\text{AE}(n)$ -spaces is  $n$ -soft if and only if for each at most  $n$ -dimensional realcompact space  $Z$ , for its closed subspace  $Z_0$ , and for any two maps  $g: Z_0 \rightarrow X$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f \circ g = h|_{Z_0}$  and  $C(g)(C(X)) \subseteq \{\varphi|_{Z_0}: \varphi \in C(Z)\}$ , there exists a map  $k: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $k|_{Z_0} = g$  and  $f \circ k = h$ .

It is easy to check that  $X$  is  $\text{AE}(n)$ -space if and only if the constant map  $X \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$  is  $n$ -soft. It is important to note that every 0-soft map between  $\text{AE}(0)$ -spaces is surjective and open ([4, Lemma 6.1.13 & Proposition 6.1.26]) and that for surjections between Polish spaces the converse of this fact is also true.

We say (see [4, Section 6.3]) that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a Polish kernel if there exists a Polish space  $P$  such that  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in the product  $Y \times P$  so that  $f$  coincides with the restriction  $\pi_Y|_X$  of the projection  $\pi_Y: Y \times P \rightarrow Y$ . Obviously any map between Polish spaces has a Polish kernel.

**2.2. Set-theoretical preliminaries.** Let  $A$  be a partially ordered directed set (i.e. for every two elements  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  there exists an element  $\gamma \in A$  such that  $\gamma \geq \alpha$  and  $\gamma \geq \beta$ ). We say that a subset  $A_1 \subseteq A$  of  $A$  majorates another subset  $A_2 \subseteq A$  of  $A$  if for each element  $\alpha_2 \in A_2$  there exists an element  $\alpha_1 \in A_1$  such that  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ . A subset which majorates  $A$  is called cofinal in  $A$ . A subset of  $A$  is said to be a chain if every two elements of it are comparable. The symbol  $\sup B$ , where  $B \subseteq A$ , denotes the lower upper bound of  $B$  (if such an element exists in  $A$ ). Let now  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number. A subset  $B$  of  $A$  is said to be  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$  if for each chain  $C \subseteq B$ , with  $|C| \leq \tau$ , we have  $\sup C \in B$ , whenever the element  $\sup C$  exists in  $A$ . Finally, a directed set  $A$  is said to be  $\tau$ -complete if for each chain  $B$  of elements of  $A$  with  $|B| \leq \tau$ , there exists an element  $\sup B$  in  $A$ .

The standard example of a  $\tau$ -complete set can be obtained as follows. For an arbitrary set  $A$  let  $\exp A$  denote, as usual, the collection of all subsets of  $A$ . There is a natural partial order on  $\exp A$ :  $A_1 \geq A_2$  if and only if  $A_1 \supseteq A_2$ . With this partial order  $\exp A$  becomes a directed set. If we consider only those subsets of the set  $A$  which have cardinality  $\leq \tau$ , then the corresponding subcollection of  $\exp A$ , denoted by  $\exp_\tau A$ , serves as a basic example of a  $\tau$ -complete set. Proofs of the following statements can be found in [4].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\{A_t : t \in T\}$  be a collection of  $\tau$ -closed and cofinal subsets of a  $\tau$ -complete set  $A$ . If  $|T| \leq \tau$ , then the intersection  $\cap \{A_t : t \in T\}$  is also cofinal (in particular, non-empty) and  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** *For each subset  $B$ , with  $|B| \leq \tau$ , of a  $\tau$ -complete set  $A$  there exists an element  $\gamma \in A$  such that  $\gamma \geq \beta$  for each  $\beta \in B$ .*

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\tau$ -complete set,  $L \subseteq A^2$ , and suppose the following three conditions are satisfied:*

**Existence:** *For each  $\alpha \in A$  there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ .*

**Majorantness:** *If  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$  and  $\gamma \geq \beta$ , then  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$ .*

**$\tau$ -closeness:** *Let  $\{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$  be a chain in  $A$  with  $|T| \leq \tau$ . If  $(\alpha_t, \beta) \in L$  for some  $\beta \in A$  and each  $t \in T$ , then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$  where  $\alpha = \sup \{\alpha_t : t \in T\}$ .*

*Then the set of all  $L$ -reflexive elements of  $A$  (an element  $\alpha \in A$  is  $L$ -reflexive if  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in L$ ) is cofinal and  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$ .*

**2.3. Baire sets and Baire isomorphisms.** Recall that elements of the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by functionally open subsets of a space  $X$  are called Baire sets of  $X$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a Baire map if inverse images of Baire sets are Baire sets. A bijection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Baire isomorphism if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are Baire maps.

The following statement ([3, Proposition 2.5]) is used in the proof of Theorem 4.8.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a 0-soft map of  $AE(0)$ -spaces. Then there exists a Baire map  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$ .*

If  $f$  is a continuous homomorphism of Polish groups, then the existence of such a  $g$  has been observed by Dixmier [1, Theorem 1.2.4], [11, Theorem 12.17]).

### 3. $AE(0)$ -GROUPS AND ACTIONS OF $AE(0)$ -GROUPS – SPECTRAL REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we present spectral characterizations of  $AE(0)$ -groups. We also present a spectral description of actions of  $AE(0)$ -groups on  $AE(0)$ -spaces.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Each topological  $AE(0)$ -group  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of Polish groups  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and 0-soft limit homomorphisms  $p_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . In particular, all short projections  $p_\alpha^\beta: G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in A$ , are 0-soft homomorphisms.*

*Proof.* By [4, Theorem 6.3.2 or Proposition 6.3.5], the space  $G$  can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S} = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \tilde{A}\}$  consisting of Polish spaces (i.e.  $AE(0)$ -spaces of countable weight) and 0-soft limit projections. Let us show that this spectrum contains  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal subspectrum consisting of topological groups and limit projections that are (continuous) homomorphisms.

Let  $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G$  and  $\nu: G \rightarrow G$  be continuous operations of multiplication and inversion given on  $G$  as on a topological group. We apply [4, Theorem 1.3.6] to both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . First consider the multiplication. Clearly,  $G \times G$  is the limit space of the spectrum

$$\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} = \{G_\alpha \times G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta \times p_\alpha^\beta, \tilde{A}\}.$$

All projections of the spectrum  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$  are 0-soft, and hence, by [4, Proposition 6.1.26], open. The Suslin number of the product  $G \times G$  is obviously countable (see [4, proposition 6.1.8]). Consequently, by [4, Proposition 1.3.3], the spectrum  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$  is factorizing. Next we apply [4, Theorem 1.3.6] to the spectra  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  and to the map  $\mu$  between their limit spaces. Then we get a  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal subset  $A_\mu$  of  $\tilde{A}$  such that for each  $\alpha \in A_\mu$  there exists a continuous map  $\mu_\alpha: G_\alpha \times G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \\
p_\alpha \times p_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\alpha \\
G_\alpha \times G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mu_\alpha} & G_\alpha
\end{array}$$

commutes. In other words,  $p_\alpha \circ \mu = \mu_\alpha \circ (p_\alpha \times p_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in A_\mu$ .

Next consider a continuous inversion  $\nu: G \rightarrow G$ . By [4, Theorem 1.3.6], applied to  $\nu$  and the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}$ , there exists a  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal subset  $A_\nu$  of  $\tilde{A}$  such that for each  $\alpha \in A_\nu$  there exists a continuous map  $\nu_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\nu} & G \\
p_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\alpha \\
G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\nu_\alpha} & G_\alpha
\end{array}$$

commutes. In other words,  $p_\alpha \circ \nu = \nu_\alpha \circ p_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A_\nu$ .

By Proposition 2.4, the intersection  $A = A_\mu \cap A_\nu$  is still  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal in  $\tilde{A}$ . This guarantees that  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of the factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ . Also for each  $\alpha \in A$  we have two maps

$$\mu_\alpha: G_\alpha \times G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$$

which allow us to define

- (a) a continuous multiplication operation on  $G_\alpha$  by letting

$$x_\alpha \cdot y_\alpha = \mu_\alpha(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \quad \text{for each } (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in G_\alpha \times G_\alpha;$$

- (b) a continuous inversion on  $G_\alpha$  by letting

$$x_\alpha^{-1} = \nu_\alpha(x_\alpha) \quad \text{for each } x_\alpha \in G_\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , becomes a topological group with respect to these operations. Moreover, for each  $\alpha \in A$  the limit projection  $p_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$  becomes a homomorphism with respect to the above defined operations.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a  $AE(0)$ -group such that  $\dim G \leq n$ . Then  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of at most  $n$ -dimensional Polish groups  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and 0-soft limit homomorphisms  $p_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1,  $G = \lim \mathcal{S}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A_1\}$  is a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. By [4, Theorem 1.3.10],  $G = \lim \mathcal{S}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A_2\}$  is a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum consisting of at most  $n$ -dimensional Polish spaces. It follows directly from the proofs of Proposition 3.1 and [4, Theorem 1.3.10], that both indexing sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  can be assumed to be closed and  $\omega$ -complete subsets of a  $\omega$ -complete set  $B$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $A = A_1 \cap A_2$  is still cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset of  $B$ . Consequently, the factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consists of at most  $n$ -dimensional Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. It only remains to note that  $G = \lim \mathcal{S}_G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\tau \geq \omega$ . Every  $AE(0)$ -group of weight  $\tau \geq \omega$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed and  $C$ -embedded subgroup of the product  $\prod \{G_t: t \in T\}$ , where  $G_t$ ,  $t \in T$ , is a Polish group and  $|T| = \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an  $AE(0)$ -group of weight  $\tau$ . If  $\tau = \omega$ , then  $G$  is Polish (see [4, Chapter 6] and consequently there is nothing to prove.

If  $\tau > \omega$ , then by Proposition 3.1,  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_t, p_t^{t'}, T\}$  with  $|T| = \tau$ . Clearly  $\lim \mathcal{S}_G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the product  $\prod \{G_t, t \in A\}$ . Since the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is factorizing, it follows that  $\lim \mathcal{S}_G$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\prod \{G_t, t \in T\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a topological group of weight  $\tau > \omega$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $G$  is a  $AE(0)$ -group.
- (b) *There exists a well-ordered inverse spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$  satisfying the following properties:*
  1.  $G_\alpha$  is a  $AE(0)$ -group and  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: G_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow G_\alpha$  is a 0-soft homomorphism with Polish kernel,  $\alpha < \tau$ .
  2. If  $\beta < \tau$  is a limit ordinal, then the diagonal product

$$\triangle\{p_\alpha^\beta: \alpha < \beta\}: G_\beta \rightarrow \lim\{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \alpha < \beta\}$$

*is a topological and algebraic isomorphism.*

3.  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to  $\lim \mathcal{S}_G$ .
4.  $G_0$  is a Polish group.

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b). By Corollary 3.3, we may assume that  $G$  is a closed and  $C$ -embedded subgroup of the product  $\prod \{X_a: a \in A\}$ ,  $|A| = \tau$ , of Polish



groups  $X_a$ ,  $a \in A$ . There exists a proper, functionally closed and 0-invertible map  $f: Y \rightarrow \prod\{X_a: a \in A\}$ , where  $Y$  is a spectrally complete (see [4, p.247]) realcompact space of weight  $\tau$  and dimension  $\dim Y = 0$  (see [4, Proposition 6.2.13] for details). Consider the inverse image  $f^{-1}(G) \subseteq Y$  of  $G$  and the map  $f|f^{-1}: f^{-1}(G) \rightarrow G$ . Since  $G$  is  $C$ -embedded in the product  $\prod\{X_a: a \in A\}$ , since  $\dim Y = 0$  and since  $G$ , according to (a), is an  $AE(0)$ -space, there exists a map  $g: Y \rightarrow G$  such that  $g|f^{-1}(G) = f|f^{-1}(G)$ .

Next let us denote by

$$\pi_B: \prod\{X_a: a \in A\} \rightarrow \prod\{X_a: a \in B\}$$

and

$$\pi_C^B: \prod\{X_a: a \in B\} \rightarrow \prod\{X_a: a \in C\}$$

the natural projections onto the corresponding subproducts ( $C \subseteq B \subseteq A$ ). We call a subset  $B \subseteq A$  admissible (compare with the proof of [4, Theorem 6.3.1]) if the following equality

$$\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_B(x)$$

is true for each point  $x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$ . We need the following properties of admissible sets.

*Claim 1. The union of arbitrary collection of admissible sets is admissible.*

Indeed let  $\{B_t: t \in T\}$  be a collection of admissible sets and  $B = \cup\{B_t: t \in T\}$ . Let  $x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$ . Clearly  $x \in \pi_{B_t}^{-1}(\pi_{B_t}(G))$  for each  $t \in T$  and consequently

$$\pi_{B_t}(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_{B_t}(x) \text{ for each } t \in T.$$

Obviously,  $\pi_B(x) \in \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x)))$  and it therefore suffices to show that the set  $\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x)))$  contains only one point. Assuming that there is a point  $y \in \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x)))$  such that  $y \neq \pi_B(x)$  we conclude (having in mind that  $B = \cup\{B_t: t \in T\}$ ) that there must be an index  $t \in T$  such that  $\pi_{B_t}^B(y) \neq \pi_{B_t}^B(\pi_B(x))$ . But this is impossible

$$\pi_{B_t}^B(y) \in \pi_{B_t}^B(\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x)))) = \pi_{B_t}(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_{B_t}(x) = \pi_{B_t}^B(\pi_B(x)).$$

*Claim 2. If  $B \subseteq A$  is admissible, then the restriction  $\pi_B|G: G \rightarrow \pi_B(G)$  is 0-soft.*

Let  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \pi_B(G)$  and  $\varphi_0: Z_0 \rightarrow G$  be two maps defined on a realcompact space  $Z$ , with  $\dim Z = 0$ , and its closed subset  $Z_0$  respectively. Assume that  $\pi_B\varphi_0 = \varphi|Z_0$  and  $C(\varphi_0)(C(G)) \subseteq C(Z)|Z_0$ . We wish to construct a map  $\phi: Z \rightarrow G$  such that  $\phi|Z_0 = \varphi_0$  and  $\pi_B\phi = \varphi$ , i.e.  $\phi$  makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & G \\
\text{incl} \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow \pi_B|G \\
Z_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi_B(G)
\end{array}$$

commutative. Since, according to our choice, all  $X_a$ 's are  $\text{AE}(0)$ -spaces (recall that each  $X_a$  is a Polish space), so is the product  $\prod\{X_a : a \in A - B\}$ . This implies the 0-softness of the projection  $\pi_B$  and hence of its restriction

$$\pi_B|_{\pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))} : \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \rightarrow \pi_B(G).$$

Then there exists a map  $\phi'' : Z \rightarrow \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$  such that  $\phi''|_{Z_0} = \varphi_0$  and  $\pi_B \phi'' = \varphi$ . Since  $f$  is 0-invertible (and  $\dim Z = 0$ ), there exists a map  $\phi' : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f\phi' = \phi''$ . Now let  $\phi = g\phi'$ . Since  $g|_{f^{-1}(G)} = f|_{f^{-1}(G)}$ , we have  $\varphi_0 = \phi|_{Z_0}$ . Finally observe that the admissibility of  $B$  implies  $\varphi = \pi_B \phi$  as required.

*Claim 3. For each countable subset  $C \subseteq A$  there exists a countable admissible subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $C \subseteq B$ .*

Since  $w(Y) = \tau$  and  $\dim Y = 0$ , it follows (consult [4, Theorem 1.3.10]) that  $Y$  can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_Y = \{Y_B, q_C^B, \exp_\omega A\}$  consisting of zero-dimensional Polish spaces  $Y_B$ ,  $B \in \exp_\omega A$ , and continuous surjections  $q_C^B : Y_B \rightarrow Y_C$ ,  $C \subseteq B$ ,  $C, B \in \exp_\omega A$ . Consider also the standard factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_X = \{\prod\{X_a : a \in B\}, \pi_C^B, \exp_\omega A\}$  consisting of countable subproducts of the product  $\prod\{X_a : a \in A\}$  and corresponding natural projections. Obviously the full product coincides with the limit of  $\mathcal{S}_X$ . One more factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum arises naturally. This is the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{\pi_B(G), \pi_C^B|_{\pi_B(G)}, \exp_\omega A\}$  the limit of which coincides with  $G$ .

Consider the map  $f : \lim \mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{S}_X$ . By [4, Theorem 1.3.4], there is a cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset  $\mathcal{T}_f$  of  $\exp_\omega A$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{T}_f$  there is a map  $f_B : Y_B \rightarrow \prod\{X_a : a \in B\}$  such that  $f_B \circ q_B = \pi_B \circ f$ . Moreover, these maps form a morphism

$$\{f_B; B \in \mathcal{T}_f\} : \mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X$$

limit of which coincides with  $f$ . Since  $f$  is proper and functionally closed, we may assume (see [4, Proposition 6.2.9]) without loss of generality (considering

a smaller cofinal and  $\omega$ -subset of  $\mathcal{T}_f$  if necessary) that the above indicated morphism is bicommutative. This simply means that  $q_B f^{-1}(K) = f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(K))$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{T}_f$  and any closed subset  $K$  of the product  $\prod \{X_a : a \in A\}$ .

Similarly, applying [4, Theorem 1.3.4] to the map  $g : \lim \mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{S}_G$ , we obtain a cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset  $\mathcal{T}_g$  of  $\exp_\omega A$  and the associated to it morphism

$$\{g_B : Y_B \rightarrow \pi_B(G); B \in \mathcal{T}_g\} : \mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_G$$

limit of which coincides with the map  $g$ .

By Proposition 2.4, the intersection  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_f \cap \mathcal{T}_g$  is still a cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset of  $\exp_\omega A$ . It therefore suffices to show that each  $B \in \mathcal{T}$  is an admissible subset of  $A$ . Consider a point  $x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$ . First observe that the bicommutativity of the morphism associated with  $\mathcal{T}_f$  implies that  $q_B(f^{-1}(x)) = f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x))$ . Since the maps  $f_B$  and  $g_B$  coincide on  $f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) &= g_B(q_B(f^{-1}(x))) = g_B(f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x))) = \\ &= f_B(f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x))) = \pi_B(x) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

*Claim 4.* If  $C$  and  $B$  are admissible subsets of  $A$  and  $C \subseteq B$ , then the map  $\pi_C^B|_{\pi_B(G)} : \pi_B(G) \rightarrow \pi_C(G)$  is 0-soft.

This property follows from Claim 2 and [4, Lemma 6.1.15].

After having all the needed properties of admissible subsets established we proceed as follows. Since  $|A| = \tau$  we can write  $A = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ . By Claim 3, each  $a_\alpha \in A$  is contained in a countable admissible subset  $B_\alpha \subseteq A$ . Let  $A_\alpha = \cup\{B_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\}$ . We use these sets to define a transfinite inverse spectrum  $\mathcal{S} = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$  as follows. Let  $G_\alpha = \pi_{A_\alpha}(G)$  and  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} = \pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}|_{G_{\alpha+1}}$  for each  $\alpha < \tau$ . All the required properties of the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G$  are satisfied by construction.

The implication (b)  $\implies$  (a) immediately follows from [4, Proposition 6.3.4]

□

**Remark 3.5.** Actually 0-soft homomorphism  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : G_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , in Theorem 3.4(b)1 has Polish kernel in a somewhat stonger sense than the original definition presented in Subsection 2.1. Namely a Polish space  $P$  (from the definition), such that  $G_{\alpha+1}$  admist a  $C$ -embedding into the product  $G_\alpha \times P$  in such a way that  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$  coincides with the restriction of the projection  $\pi_{G_\alpha} : G_\alpha \times P \rightarrow G_\alpha$ , can be chosen to be a Polish group and the embedding of  $G_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow G_\alpha \times P$  can be assumed to be a homomorphism. Of course this implies that  $\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ , as a closed subgroup of  $P$ , is itself a Polish group. It would be interesting to see whether the converse of this observation is also true, i.e. is it true that if the kernel  $\ker p$  of a 0-soft homomorphism  $p : G \rightarrow L$

of  $AE(0)$ -groups is Polish, then  $p$  has a Polish kernel in the sense of Subsection 2.1.

Next we characterize 0-soft homomorphisms of  $AE(0)$ -groups with Polish kernels.

**Proposition 3.6.** *A 0-soft homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow L$  between  $AE(0)$ -groups has a Polish kernel if and only if there exist factorizing  $\omega$ -spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_L = \{L_\alpha, q_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ , consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms, and a morphism  $\{f_\alpha\}: \mathcal{S}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_L$ , consisting of 0-soft homomorphisms, such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $G = \lim \mathcal{S}_G$ ,  $L = \lim \mathcal{S}_L$  and  $f = \lim \{f_\alpha\}$ .
2. All limit projections of the spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G$  and  $\mathcal{S}_L$  are 0-soft.
3. All limit square diagrams, generated by limit projections of spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G$  and  $\mathcal{S}_L$ , by elements of the morphism  $\{f_\alpha\}$  and by the map  $f$ , are the Cartesian squares.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1, we may assume, without loss of generality, that both  $G$  and  $L$  are topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limits of factorizing  $\omega$ -spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_L = \{L_\alpha, q_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ , consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. By [4, Theorem 1.3.6], we may also assume that the map  $f$  is the limit of a morphism  $\{f_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha; A\}$ , consisting of continuous maps  $f_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ . Since  $f$  itself and all the limit projections  $p_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$  and  $q_\alpha: L \rightarrow L_\alpha$  are homomorphisms between respective groups, it follows easily that  $f_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$  is also a homomorphism,  $\alpha \in A$ . Finally since  $f$  is 0-soft and has a Polish kernel, it follows, by [4, Theorem 6.3.1(vi)], that all limit square diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ p_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow q_\alpha \\ G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & L_\alpha, \end{array}$$

generated by limit projections of spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G$  and  $\mathcal{S}_L$ , by elements of the morphism  $\{f_\alpha\}$  and by the map  $f$ , are the Cartesian squares.  $\square$

Below, in Subsection 4.1, we consider actions of  $AE(0)$ -groups on  $AE(0)$ -spaces. Main tool here is the following statement (see [4, Theorem 8.7.1]).

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\lambda: G \times X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous action of an  $AE(0)$ -group  $G$  on an  $AE(0)$ -space  $X$ . Suppose that  $X$  is homeomorphic to the limit space of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of Polish spaces and 0-soft limit projections. Suppose also that  $G$  is topologically*

and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of the factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, s_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. Then  $\lambda$  is the limit of “level actions”, i.e.  $\lambda = \lim \lambda_\alpha$ , where

$$\{\lambda_\alpha: G_\alpha \times X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha, B\}: \mathcal{S}_G|B \times \mathcal{S}_X|B \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X|B$$

is a morphism between the spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G|B \times \mathcal{S}_X|B$  and  $\mathcal{S}_X|B$  and  $B$  is a cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset of the indexing set  $A$ .

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

##### 4.1. Universal AE(0)-groups and universal actions of AE(0)-groups.

In this Subsection we prove the existence of universal AE(0)-groups of a given weight as well as the existence of a universal action of a AE(0)-group of a given weight on a compact AE(0)-space of the same weight.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\tau \geq \omega$ . The class of AE(0)-groups of weight  $\leq \tau$  contains a universal element. More formally, every AE(0)-group is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed and  $C$ -embedded subgroup of the power  $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau$ , where  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$  denotes the group of autohomeomorphisms of the Hilbert cube  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a AE(0)-group of weight  $\tau$ . By Corollary 3.3,  $G$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed and  $C$ -embedded subgroup of the product  $\prod \{G_t: t \in T\}$ , where  $G_t$  is a Polish group for each  $t \in T$  and  $|T| = \tau$ . By Uspenskii’s theorem [15],  $G_t$  can be identified with a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Obviously  $\prod \{G_t: t \in T\}$ , and consequently  $G$ , is a closed and  $C$ -embedded subgroup of  $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau$ .  $\square$

Let  $\tau > \omega$ . Clearly the AE(0)-group  $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau$  (i.e. the  $\tau$ -th power of the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$ ) continuously acts on the Tychonov cube  $\mathbb{Q}^\tau$  via the natural action (“coordinatewise evaluation”)

$$\text{ev}_\tau: (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau \times \mathbb{Q}^\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\tau,$$

which is defined by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_\tau(\{g_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}, \{q_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}) &= \{g_\alpha(q_\alpha): \alpha < \tau\} \text{ for each} \\ &(\{g_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}, \{q_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}) \in (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau \times \mathbb{Q}^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\tau > \omega$ . The action  $\text{ev}_\tau: (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau \times \mathbb{Q}^\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\tau$  is universal in the category of actions of AE(0)-groups of weight  $\tau$  on compact AE(0)-spaces of weight  $\tau$ . More formally, let  $\lambda: G \times X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous action of a AE(0)-group  $G$  of weight  $\tau$  on a compact AE(0)-space  $X$  of weight  $\tau$ . Then*

there exists a topological and algebraic embedding  $i_G: G \rightarrow (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau$  with a closed image and an embedding  $i_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\tau$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau \times \mathbb{Q}^\tau & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\tau} & \mathbb{Q}^\tau \\ i_G \times i_X \uparrow & & \uparrow i_X \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1,  $G$  can be represented as the limit of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, s_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. Similarly, by [4, Proposition 6.3.5],  $X$  can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  consisting of metrizable compacta and 0-soft limit projections. Without loss of generality we may assume that these spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G$  and  $\mathcal{S}_X$  have the same indexing set  $A$  and  $|A| = \tau$ . By Proposition 3.7, the given action  $\lambda: G \times X \rightarrow X$  is the limit of level actions, i.e.  $\lambda = \lim \lambda_\alpha$ , where

$$\{\lambda_\alpha: G_\alpha \times X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha, B\}: \mathcal{S}_G|B \times \mathcal{S}_X|B \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X|B$$

is a morphism between the spectra  $\mathcal{S}_G|B \times \mathcal{S}_X|B$  and  $\mathcal{S}_X|B$  and  $B$  is a cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed subset of the indexing set  $A$ . We may also assume that  $|B| = \tau$ .

Since  $G = \lim \mathcal{S}_G|B$  it follows that the diagonal product

$$s = \Delta\{s_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha, \alpha \in B\}: G \rightarrow \prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}$$

is a topological and algebraic isomorphism with a closed image. Similarly the diagonal product

$$p = \Delta\{p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in B\}: X \rightarrow \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}$$

is an embedding. Consider also the product action

$$\tilde{\lambda}: \prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \times \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \rightarrow \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}$$

defined by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}(\{g_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}, \{x_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}) &= \{\lambda_\alpha(g_\alpha, x_\alpha): \alpha \in B\} \text{ for each} \\ (\{g_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}, \{x_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}) &\in \prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \times \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tilde{\lambda} \circ (s \times p) = p \circ \lambda$ , i.e. the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \times \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} & \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \\
s \times p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \\
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Since for each  $\alpha \in B$  the group  $G_\alpha$  is Polish, it follows by [12] (see also [1, Theorem 2.6.7]) that there exist a topological and algebraic embedding  $j_\alpha: G_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha)$  with a closed image and an embedding  $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$  (here  $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$  denotes a copy of the Hilbert cube  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) such that  $\text{ev}_\alpha \circ (j_\alpha \times i_\alpha) = i_\alpha \circ \lambda_\alpha$ . This simply means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha) \times \mathbb{Q}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\alpha} & \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \\
j_\alpha \times i_\alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow i_\alpha \\
G_\alpha \times X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\lambda_\alpha} & X_\alpha
\end{array}$$

commutes for each  $\alpha \in B$ . Here  $\text{ev}_\alpha: \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha) \times \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$  is the evaluation action, i.e.  $\text{ev}_\alpha(g_\alpha, x_\alpha) = g_\alpha(x_\alpha)$  for each  $(g_\alpha, x_\alpha) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha) \times \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ . Let

$$j = \times\{j_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}: \prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \rightarrow \prod\{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha): \alpha \in B\}$$

and

$$i = \times\{i_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}: \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \rightarrow \prod\{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}.$$

Finally consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod\{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_\alpha): \alpha \in B\} \times \prod\{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} & \xrightarrow{\times\{\text{ev}_\alpha: \alpha \in B\}} & \prod\{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \\
j \times i \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\
\prod\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \times \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} & \prod\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in B\} \\
s \times p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \\
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X
\end{array}$$

and note that since  $|B| = \tau$  the upper horizontal arrow is actually the action  $\text{ev}_\tau: (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}))^\tau \times \mathbb{Q}^\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\tau$ . Clearly it suffices to let  $i_G = (j \times i) \circ (s \times p)$  and  $i_X = i \circ p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

It would be very interesting to prove that for a  $AE(0)$ -group  $G$  of weight  $\tau > \omega$  the category of  $AE(0)$ -spaces (of weight  $\tau$ ) admitting actions of the group  $G$  and their  $G$ -maps contains a universal object. For  $\tau = \omega$  this fact has recently been proved in [9].

**4.2. Closed subgroups of powers of the symmetric group  $S_\infty$ .** The following result gives an embeddability criterion into the symmetric group  $S_\infty$  - the group of all bijections of  $\mathbb{N}$  under the relative topology inherited from  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ . It is important to note [7] that there exist zero-dimensional Polish groups which can not be embedded into  $S_\infty$  as closed subgroups.

**Theorem 4.3** ([1]). *Let  $G$  be a Polish group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty$ ;*
- (ii)  *$G$  admits a (countable) neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups;*
- (iii)  *$G$  admits a (countable) basis closed under left multiplication (or a countable basis closed under right multiplication);*
- (iv)  *$G$  admits a compatible left-invariant ultrametric.*

Next we characterize those topological  $AE(0)$ -groups which are isomorphic to closed subgroups of infinite powers  $S_\infty^\tau$ ,  $\tau \geq \omega$ , of  $S_\infty$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\tau \geq 1$  be a cardinal number. The following conditions are equivalent for any topological  $AE(0)$ -group  $G$  of weight  $\tau \geq \omega$ :*

- (i)  *$G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$ ;*
- (ii)  *$G$  admits a neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups.*

*Proof.* If  $\tau = 1$  our statement coincides with Theorem 4.3. Next consider the case  $1 < \tau \leq \omega$ . It is easy to see that the group  $S_\omega^\tau$  admits a countable neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups. Obviously every closed subgroup of  $S_\omega^\tau$  has the same property (and, consequently, by Theorem 4.3, can be embedded into  $S_\infty$ ). Conversely if a Polish group  $G$  admits a countable neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups, then, by Theorem 4.3,  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty$ . It only remains to note that  $G_\infty$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$  for any  $\tau$ .

Next we assume that  $\tau > \omega$ . By [4, Lemma 8.2.1],  $G$  is isomorphic to the limit space of a factorizing  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  all spaces  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , of which are Polish groups and all limit projections  $p_\alpha: G \rightarrow G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , of which are 0-soft homomorphisms.



Now consider the following relation  $L \in A^2$ :

$$L = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in A^2 : \alpha \leq \beta \text{ and there exists a countable neighborhood basis } \mathcal{V}_\alpha \text{ at the identity } e_\alpha \text{ of } G_\alpha \text{ containing intersections of its finite subcollections and such that for each } V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha \text{ there is an open subgroup } U_V^{\beta, \alpha} \text{ of } G_\beta \text{ with } U_V^{\beta, \alpha} \subseteq (p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}(V) \right\}$$

Let us verify conditions of Proposition 2.6.

*Existence.* For each  $\alpha \in A$  we need to find  $\beta \in A$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  be a countable neighborhood basis at  $e_\alpha \in G_\alpha$  which contains intersections of its finite subcollections. For each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  the set  $p_\alpha^{-1}(V)$  is a neighborhood of the identity  $e \in G$ . By (ii), there exists an open subgroup  $U_V$  of  $G$  such that  $U_V \subseteq p_\alpha^{-1}(V)$ . Since every open subgroup in  $G$  is closed, it follows that  $U_V$ , as an open and closed subset of  $G$ , is a functionally open in  $G$ . Recall that the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is factorizing and consequently there exist an index  $\beta_V \in A$  and an open subset  $U_V^{\beta_V} \subseteq G_{\beta_V}$  such that  $\beta_V \geq \alpha$  and  $U_V = p_{\beta_V}^{-1}(U_V^{\beta_V})$ . By Corollary 2.5, there exists an index  $\beta \in A$  such that  $\beta \geq \beta_V$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ . Let  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha} = (p_{\beta_V}^\beta)^{-1}(U_V^{\beta_V})$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ . Note that

$$U_V^{\beta, \alpha} = (p_{\beta_V}^\beta)^{-1}(U_V^{\beta_V}) = p_\beta(p_{\beta_V}(U_V^{\beta_V})) = p_\beta(U_V) \subseteq p_\beta(p_\alpha^{-1}(V)) = (p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}(V) \text{ for each } V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha.$$

Since the limit projection  $p_\beta: G \rightarrow G_\beta$  is a homomorphism it follows that  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha} = p_\beta(U_V)$  is a subgroup of  $G_\beta$ . Finally since  $U_V^{\beta_V}$  is open in  $G_{\beta_V}$ , we conclude that  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha} = (p_{\beta_V}^\beta)^{-1}(U_V^{\beta_V})$  is open in  $G_\beta$ . This shows that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ .

*Majorantness.* If  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$  and  $\gamma \geq \beta$ , then  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$ . Since  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$  it follows that for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  there exists an open subgroup  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha}$  of  $G_\beta$  such that  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha} \subseteq (p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}(V)$  where  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  is a countable neighborhood basis at the identity  $e_\alpha \in G_\alpha$  containing intersections of its finite subcollections. Let  $U_V^{\gamma, \alpha} = (p_\beta^\gamma)^{-1}(U_V^{\beta, \alpha})$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ . Since the projection  $p_\beta^\gamma: G_\gamma \rightarrow G_\beta$  is a continuous homomorphism, it follows that  $U_V^{\gamma, \alpha}$  is an open subgroup of  $G_\gamma$ . Obviously

$$U_V^{\gamma, \alpha} = (p_\beta^\gamma)^{-1}(U_V^{\beta, \alpha}) \subseteq (p_\beta^\gamma)^{-1}\left((p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}(V)\right) = (p_\alpha^\gamma)^{-1}(V), \quad V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha,$$

which shows that  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$  as required.

*$\omega$ -closeness.* Let  $\{\alpha_i : i \in \omega\}$  be a countable chain in  $A$  and  $(\alpha_i, \beta) \in L$  for some  $\beta \in A$  and each  $i \in \omega$ . We need to show that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$  where  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_i : i \in \omega\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}$  be a countable neighborhood basis at  $e_{\alpha_i} \in G_{\alpha_i}$  and  $U_V^{\beta, \alpha_i}$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}$ , be an open subgroup of  $G_\beta$  witnessing the fact that  $(\alpha_i, \beta) \in L$ .

Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha = \bigcup \{(p_{\alpha_i}^\alpha)^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}) : i \in \omega\}.$$

Since the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is an  $\omega$ -spectrum and since  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_i : i \in \omega\}$  it follows that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  forms a neighborhood basis at  $e_\alpha \in G_\alpha$ . For each  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  choose  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $\tilde{V} = (p_{\alpha_i}^\alpha)^{-1}(V)$  and let  $U_{\tilde{V}}^{\beta, \alpha} = U_V^{\beta, \alpha_i}$ . Since  $(\alpha_i, \beta) \in L$ , we have

$$U_{\tilde{V}}^{\beta, \alpha} = U_V^{\beta, \alpha_i} \subseteq (p_{\alpha_i}^\beta)^{-1}(V) = (p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}\left((p_{\alpha_i}^\alpha)^{-1}(V)\right) = (p_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}(\tilde{V}).$$

This proves that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ .

According to Proposition 2.6 the set  $\tilde{A}$  of  $L$ -reflexive elements is cofinal and  $\omega$ -closed in  $A$ . The  $L$ -reflexivity of an element  $\alpha \in A$  means precisely that there exists a countable neighborhood basis  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  at  $e_\alpha \in G_\alpha$  containing intersections of its finite subcollections and such that for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  there exists an open subgroup  $U_V^\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$  with  $U_V^\alpha \subseteq V$ . This obviously means that for each  $\alpha \in \tilde{A}$  the Polish group  $G_\alpha$  satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 4.3. Consequently, by Theorem 4.3,  $G_\alpha$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty$ . Next note that since  $\tilde{A}$  is cofinal in  $A$  the limit space of the spectrum  $\mathcal{S} = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \tilde{A}\}$  is topologically isomorphic to  $G$ . This obviously implies that  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the product  $\prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{A}\}$ , which in turn is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$  (note that  $|\tilde{A}| = w(G) = \tau$ ). This completes the proof of implication (ii)  $\implies$  (i).

Verification of the implication (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is trivial. Proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $\tau \geq 2$ . The following conditions are equivalent for any Polish group  $G$ :*

- (i)  *$G$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty$ ;*
- (ii)  *$G$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$ .*

**Corollary 4.6.** *There exists a zero-dimensional Polish group which is not topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$  for any cardinal number  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* It is known [7] that there exists a zero-dimensional Polish group  $G$  which is not topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty$ . By Corollary 4.6,

$G$  can not be topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $S_\infty^\tau$  for any cardinal  $\tau \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Baire isomorphisms.** The main result of this Subsection (Theorem 4.8) allows us to reduce in many instances (descriptive) set theoretical considerations of general AE(0)-groups to those for Polish groups.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a 0-soft homomorphism between AE(0)-groups. Then there exists a Baire isomorphism  $h: Y \times \ker f \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ h = \pi_Y$ , where  $\pi_Y: Y \times \ker f \rightarrow Y$  stands for the projection onto the first coordinate.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.7, there exists a Baire map  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$ . The required Baire isomorphism  $h: Y \times \ker f \rightarrow X$  (not a homomorphism unless  $g$  is a homomorphism itself) can now be defined by letting

$$h(y, a) = g(y) \cdot a, \text{ for each } (y, a) \in Y \times \ker f,$$

where  $\cdot$  denotes the multiplication operation in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Every AE(0)-group is Baire isomorphic to the product of Polish groups.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a AE(0)-group. If  $w(X) = \omega$ , then  $X$  itself is Polish and there is nothing to prove.

Let now  $w(X) = \tau > \omega$ . According to Theorem 3.4,  $X$  is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a well-ordered continuous spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau\}$  such that  $X_0$  is a Polish group and the 0-soft homomorphism  $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  has a Polish kernel for each  $\alpha < \tau$ .

Our goal is to prove that  $X$  is Baire isomorphic to the product  $X_0 \times \prod \{\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \tau\}$ . We proceed by induction. By Lemma 4.7, there exists a Baire isomorphism  $h_1: X_0 \times \ker p_0^1 \rightarrow X_1$  such that  $p_0^1 \circ h_1 = \pi_{X_0}$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha$ , where  $1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \tau$ , we have already constructed Baire isomorphism  $h_\alpha: X_0 \times \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha\} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  in such a way that

1. If  $\alpha + 1 < \beta$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 \times \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha + 1\} & \xrightarrow{h_{\alpha+1}} & X_{\alpha+1} \\ \text{id}_{X_0} \times \pi_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \\ X_0 \times \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha\} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha, \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha + 1\} = \\ \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha\} \times X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod \{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

is the natural projection.

2.  $h_\alpha = \lim\{h_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\}$ , whenever  $\alpha < \beta$  is a limit ordinal number.

We now construct Baire isomorphism  $h_\beta: X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \beta\} \rightarrow X_\beta$

If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal number, then we let  $h_\beta = \lim\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$ .

If  $\beta = \alpha + 1$ , then consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \alpha\}) \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha \times \text{id}} & X_\alpha \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{h} & X_{\alpha+1} \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi_{X_\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \\ X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \alpha\} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X_\alpha}} & X_\alpha, \end{array}$$

where

(a)  $\text{id}: \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$  stands for the identity map;

(b)

$$\pi_1: \left( X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \alpha\} \right) \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \alpha\}$$

denotes the projection onto the first coordinate and

(c)  $h: X_\alpha \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_{\alpha+1}$  is a Baire isomorphism existence of which is guaranteed by Lemma 4.7.

The required Baire isomorphism  $h_{\alpha+1}: X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\delta^{\delta+1}: \delta < \alpha + 1\} \rightarrow X_{\alpha+1}$  can now be defined as the composition  $h_{\alpha+1} = h \circ (h_\alpha \times \text{id})$ . This completes induction and finishes the construction of Baire isomorphisms  $h_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ . It is now easy to see that

$$h = \lim\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}: X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}: \alpha < \tau\} \rightarrow X$$

is the required Baire isomorphism. Note here that  $X_0$  as well as  $\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , are Polish groups. Proof is completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Becker and A. Kechris, *The Descriptive Set Theory of Polish Group Actions*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, no. 232, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] M. Bell and A. Chigogidze, *Topological groups homeomorphic to products of discrete spaces*, Topology Appl. **53** (1993), 67–73.
- [3] A. Chigogidze, *On spaces Baire isomorphic to the powers of the real line*, Topology Appl., to appear.
- [4] ———, *Inverse Spectra*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [5] ———, *Compact groups and absolute extensors*, Topology Proceedings **22** (1997), 63–70.
- [6] ———, *Topological characterization of torus groups*, Topology Appl. **94** (1999), no. 1, 13–25.
- [7] R. Dougherty, *Examples of non-shy sets*, Fund. Math. **144** (1994), 73–88.

- [8] R. Haydon, *On a problem of Pelczynski: Milutin spaces, Dugundji spaces and  $AE(0 - \dim)$* , Studia Math. **52** (1974), 23–31.
- [9] G. Hjorth, *A universal Polish  $G$ -space*, Topology Appl. **91** (1999), 141–150.
- [10] K. H. Hofmann and S. A. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, no. 25, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [11] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [12] M. Megrelishvili, *Free topological  $G$ -spaces*, New Zealand Journ. Mathematics **125** (1996), no. 1, 59–72.
- [13] V. Pestov, *Topological groups: where to from here?*, (1999), preprint.
- [14] L. S. Pontryagin, *Topological Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1946.
- [15] V. V. Uspenskii, *A universal topological group with a countable base*, Func. Anal. and its Appl. **20** (1986), 160–161.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,  
MCLEAN HALL, 106 WIGGINS ROAD, SASKATOON, SK, S7N 5E6, CANADA

*E-mail address:* chigogid@math.usask.ca